Project Name: EdFIRST  OCIO Project #: 5225-123				
-		Toom N	Manahar ta	Droio
	<u>—</u>	ream i	Member to	Projec
Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation			N	<b>Manage</b>
Reporting Period: From: To:			•	namage
Current Task S	ummary			
Task or Deliverable		Scheduled Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Issues?
Accomplished this week		l		
·				
Planned/Scheduled Completion in Next Two Weeks				

# Status of Assigned Issues

Issue Number	Description	Due Date	Status
--------------	-------------	----------	--------

CA-P	M	N

Project Name:	EdFIRST		<u>—</u>			
OCIO Project #:	5225-123		Team Member to Proj			
Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation			•			
Reporting Period:	From: To:		<del>-</del> Manage			
	1		I			
			1	l .		

Project Name: EdFIRST	
-----------------------	--

**OCIO Project #:** 5225-123

**Department:** Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

**Reporting Period:** *From:* 6/23/09 *To:* 7/27/09

# **Project Manager to Sponsor**

## **Current Status Report**

Questions	Yes/No	Cause	Impact	Action Required
Were recent milestones completed on schedule?	Yes			
Were any key milestones or deliverables rescheduled?	No			
3. Was work done that was not planned?	No			
4. Were there any changes to scope?	No			
5. Were tasks added that were not originally estimated?	No			
6. Were any tasks or milestones removed?	No			
7. Were any scheduled tasks not started?	No			
8. Are there any new major issues?	No			
Are there any staffing problems?	No			

PM to Sponsor (2) Page 3 of 8

Project Name: EdFIRST	
-----------------------	--

**OCIO Project #:** 5225-123

**Department:** Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

**Reporting Period:** *From:* 6/23/09 *To:* 7/27/09

# **Project Manager to Sponsor**

#### **Look Ahead View**

Questions	Yes/No	Impact	Action Required
Will upcoming critical path milestones or deliverables be delayed?	Yes	Overall schedule delay	SPR to rebaseline based on gap analysis
Do any key milestones or deliverables need to be rescheduled?	Yes	Overall schedule delay	SPR to rebaseline based on gap analysis
3. Is there any unplanned work that needs to be done?	No		
Are there any expected or recommended changes to scope?	No		
5. Are there any tasks not originally estimated that will need to be added?	No		
Are there any tasks or milestones that should be removed from the plan?	No		
7. Are there any scheduled tasks whose start will likely be delayed?	No		
8. Are any major new issues foreseeable?	No		
Are any staffing problems anticipated?	No		

#### **Current Status and Accomplishments:**

Describe deliverables completed and milestones met during this reporting period.

Many interviews conducted on Juvenile and Adult sides. Requirements captured in Requirements Traceability Matrix Spreadsheets.

PM to Sponsor (2) Page 4 of 8

Project Name: EdFIRST

**OCIO Project #:** 5225-123

**Department:** Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

**Reporting Period:** *From:* 6/23/09 *To:* 7/27/09

# **Project Manager to Sponsor**

#### Project Milestones:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule.

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	Cause & Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
Determine Requirements	6/2/09	8/26/09	Delayed	KPMG contract not signed by HQ until 6/19. Into Educators summer break periods.	
Perform Gap Analysis	7/20/09	9/25/09	Delayed	Competing with DECATS gap analysis and SOMS JAD sessions.	
Finalize Recommendation Report	8/28/09	10/30/09	Delayed	Project direction decision delayed until 10/30/09	

#### Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule			x	EdFIRST will either role into SOMS, or submit SPR to rebaseline based on results of gap analysis.
Milestones			x	EdFIRST will either role into SOMS, or submit SPR to rebaseline based on results of gap analysis.
Deliverables			x	EdFIRST will either role into SOMS, or submit SPR to rebaseline based on results of gap analysis.
Resources	×			
OneTime Cost	Х			
Continuing Cost	Х			

PM to Sponsor (2) Page 5 of 8

Project Name:	EdFIRST
OCIO Project #:	5225-123
Department:	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

6/23/09

# Sponsor to Executive Committee

### **Summary Milestones and Highlights**

7/27/09

#### Project Milestones:

Reporting Period: From:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule. Explain in issues section if a milestone's status is behind.

To:

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	If Delayed, Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
Determine Requirements	6/2/09	8/26/09	Delayed	KPMG contract not signed by HQ until 6/19. Into Educators summer break periods.	
Perform Gap Analysis	7/20/09	9/25/09	Delayed	Competing with DECATS gap analysis and SOMS JAD sessions.	
Prepare Recommendation Report	8/28/09	10/30/09	Delayed	Project direction decision delayed until 10/30/09	

#### Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

\* Priority of schedule, scope, budget, and quality from Final Ranking established in the Priority Analysis

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule			х	EdFIRST will either role into SOMS, or submit SPR to rebaseline based on results of gap analysis.
Milestones			х	EdFIRST will either role into SOMS, or submit SPR to rebaseline based on results of gap analysis.
Deliverables			х	EdFIRST will either role into SOMS, or submit SPR to rebaseline based on results of gap analysis.
Resources	х			
One Time Cost	х			
Continuing Cost	х			

Sponsor to Exe Comm Page 6 of 8

Project Name:	EdFIRST		

**OCIO Project #:** 5225-123

**Department:** Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

**Reporting Period:** *From:* 6/23/09 *To:* 7/27/09

# **Sponsor to Executive Committee**

## **Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard**

Vital Sign	Variance	Value	Your Score	Score Justification
	High Degree of Buy-In	0	o	
Customer Buy-In	Medium Degree of Buy-In	1	o Green	
	Low Degree of Buy-In	2	ň	
	Strong Viability	0	O.	
Technology Viability	Medium Viability	1	o Green	
	Weak Viability	2	ň	
	<5%	0		
Status of the Critical Path (delay)	5% to 10%	1	2 Re	State budget process, Gap analysis
	>10%	2		alialysis
4. Coat to Date up Fatiguated Coat	<5%	0	Green	
Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost- to-Date (higher)	5% to 10%	1		
to-Date (fligher)	>10%	2	5	
F. J.P. de Book of Phys. J.P. de Leonard	0 to 3	0	<b>~</b>	
<ol><li>High-Probability, High-Impact Risks</li></ol>	4 to 6	1	1 Yellow	
RISKS	>6	2	W	
6. Unresolved Issues	On time	0	O	
(on time resolution)	Late with no impact	1	o Green	
	Late impacting the critical path	2	5	
	Fully engaged	0	o	
7. Sponsorship Commitment	Partially engaged	1	Green	
	Inadequate engagement	2	5	
	Strong alignment	0	O	
8. Strategy Alignment	Partial alignment	1	Green o	
	Weak or no alignment	2	5	

Sponsor to Exe Comm Page 7 of 8

Project Name:	EdFIRST
OCIO Project #:	5225-123
Department:	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

6/23/09

To:

**Reporting Period:** From:

# Sponsor to Executive Committee

_	Strong	0		ଦ୍ର	
9. Value-to-Business	Medium	1	Green 0		
	Weak	2			
10. Vendor Viability (provide rationale	Strong	0		Ð	
for the rating in the field following the	Medium	1	Green O		
scorecard)	Weak	2	Ď		
11. Milestone Hit Rate	>90% on time	0			State budget present KDMC
11. Milestone Hit Rate (rate of achievement as planned)	80-90% on time	1	2	Red	State budget process, KPMG contract signing delay
(rate of achievement as planned)	<80% on time	2			contract signing delay
12. Deliverable Hit Rate	>90% on time	0			State budget process KDMC
(rate of production as planned)	80-90% on time	1	2	Red	State budget process, KPMG contract signing delay
(rate of production as planned)	<80% on time	2			contract signing delay
	>90% assigned and available	0	Q		
13. Actual vs. Planned Resources	80-90% assigned and available	1	0	Green	
	<80% assigned and available	2	Š		
4.4. Occartions I Itilization	<15%	0	0		
14. Overtime Utilization (% of effort that is overtime)	15-25%	1	Green O		
(% or enort that is overtime)	>25%	2	Ď		
	Highly Effective	0	G		
15. Team Effectiveness	Moderately Effective	1	Green O		
	Ineffective	2		ב	
		Total	7	G	

7/27/09

Green = 0 - 8 Yellow = 9 - 19Red = 20 +

<b>Vendor Viability Rating Ratio</b>	nale		
1			

Sponsor to Exe Comm Page 8 of 8